

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE  
May 6, 2014

## FACT SHEET: What Climate Change Means for Missouri and the Midwest



Today, the Obama Administration released the third U.S. National Climate Assessment – the most comprehensive scientific assessment ever generated of climate change and its impacts across every region of America and major sectors of the U.S. economy. The findings in this National Climate Assessment underscore the need for urgent action to combat the threats from climate change, protect American citizens and communities today, and build a sustainable future for our kids and grandkids.

The National Climate Assessment is a key deliverable of President Obama’s Climate Action Plan to cut carbon pollution, prepare America’s communities for climate-change impacts, and lead international efforts to address this global challenge. Importantly, the plan acknowledges that even as we act to reduce the greenhouse-gas pollution that is driving climate change, we must also empower the Nation’s states, communities, businesses, and decision makers with the information they need prepare for climate impacts already underway.

The Obama Administration has already taken a number of steps to deliver on that commitment to states, regions, and communities across America. In the past year alone, these efforts have included: establishing a Task Force of State, Local, and Tribal Leaders on Climate Preparedness and Resilience to advise the Administration on how the Federal Government can respond to the needs of communities nationwide that are dealing with the impacts of climate change; launching a Climate Data Initiative to bring together extensive open government data with strong commitments from the private and philanthropic sectors to develop planning and resilience tools for communities; and establishing seven new “climate hubs” across the country to help farmers and ranchers adapt their operations to a changing climate.

**MISSOURI** is part of the U.S. National Climate Assessment U.S. Midwest Region. The regional phenomena identified by the Assessment may not occur in every state that is part of a particular region. According to the third U.S. National Climate Assessment Highlights report:

“The Midwest’s agricultural lands, forests, Great Lakes, industrial activities, and cities are all vulnerable to climate variability and climate change. Climate change will tend to amplify existing risks climate poses to people, ecosystems, and infrastructure. Direct effects will include increased heat stress, flooding, drought, and late spring freezes. Climate change also alters pests and disease prevalence, competition from non-native or opportunistic native species, ecosystem disturbances, land-use change, landscape fragmentation, atmospheric and watershed pollutants, and economic shocks such as crop failures, reduced yields, or toxic blooms of algae due to extreme weather events. These added stresses, together with the direct effects of climate change, are projected to alter ecosystem and socioeconomic patterns and processes in ways that most people in the region would consider detrimental.

Most of the Midwest's population lives in urban environments. Climate change may intensify other stresses on urban dwellers and vegetation, including increased atmospheric pollution, heat island effects, a highly variable water cycle, and frequent exposure to new pests and diseases. Further, many of the cities have aging infrastructure and are particularly vulnerable to climate change related flooding and life-threatening heat waves. The increase in heavy downpours has contributed to the discharge of untreated sewage due to excess water in combined sewage-overflow systems in a number of cities in the Midwest." (NCA Highlights, p.74)

### **Regional Findings of the Third U.S. National Climate Assessment: MIDWEST**

- "In the next few decades, longer growing seasons and rising carbon dioxide levels will increase yields of some crops, though those benefits will be progressively offset by extreme weather events. Though adaptation options can reduce some of the detrimental effects, in the long term, the combined stresses associated with climate change are expected to decrease agricultural productivity.
- The composition of the region's forests is expected to change as rising temperatures drive habitats for many tree species northward. The role of the region's forests as a net absorber of carbon is at risk from disruptions to forest ecosystems, in part due to climate change.
- Increased heat wave intensity and frequency, increased humidity, degraded air quality, and reduced water quality will increase public health risks.
- The Midwest has a highly energy-intensive economy with per capita emissions of greenhouse gases more than 20% higher than the national average. The region also has a large and increasingly utilized potential to reduce emissions that cause climate change.
- Extreme rainfall events and flooding have increased during the last century, and these trends are expected to continue, causing erosion, declining water quality, and negative impacts on transportation, agriculture, human health, and infrastructure.
- Climate change will exacerbate a range of risks to the Great Lakes, including changes in the range and distribution of certain fish species, increased invasive species and harmful blooms of algae, and declining beach health. Ice cover declines will lengthen the commercial navigation season." (NCA, Ch. 18: Midwest)

### **Selected Findings and Information from the Third U.S. National Climate Assessment Relevant to MISSOURI**

- **Climate:** "The rate of warming in the Midwest has markedly accelerated over the past few decades. Between 1900 and 2010, the average Midwest air temperature increased by more than 1.5°F. Since 1991, the amount of rain falling in very heavy precipitation events has been significantly above average." (NCA, Ch. 18: Midwest, Ch. 2: Our Changing Climate)
- **Flooding:** "Flooding in local areas can be affected by multiple factors, including land-use

change, dams, and diversions of water for use. There has been an increase in flooding events in the Midwest and Northeast where the largest increases in heavy rain amounts have occurred.” (NCA, Ch. 3: Water; Ch. 2: Our Changing Climate)

- **Agriculture:** “Future crop yields will be more strongly influenced by anomalous weather events than by changes in average temperature or annual precipitation. Springtime cold air outbreaks (at least two consecutive days during which the daily average surface air temperature is below 95% of the simulated average wintertime surface air temperature) are projected to continue to occur throughout this century. As a result, increased productivity of some crops due to higher temperatures, longer growing seasons, and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations could be offset by increased freeze damage.” (NCA, Ch. 18: Midwest)
- **Forests:** “Among the varied ecosystems of the region, forest systems are particularly vulnerable to multiple stresses. The habitat ranges of many iconic tree species such as paper birch, quaking aspen, balsam fir, and black spruce are projected to decline substantially across the northern Midwest as they shift northward, while species that are common farther south, including several oaks and pines, expand their ranges northward into the region.”(NCA, Ch. 18: Midwest)
- **Energy:** “The demand for heating in major midwestern cities is typically five to seven times that for cooling, although this is expected to shift as a result of longer summers, more frequent heat waves, and higher humidity, leading to an increase in the number of cooling degree days. This increased demand for cooling by the middle of this century is projected to exceed 10 gigawatts (equivalent to at least five large conventional power plants), requiring more than \$6 billion in infrastructure investments. Further, approximately 95% of the electrical generating infrastructure in the Midwest is susceptible to decreased efficiency due to higher temperatures.”(NCA, Ch. 18: Midwest)
- **Health:** “More than 20 million people in the Midwest experience air quality that fails to meet national ambient air quality standards. Degraded air quality due to human-induced emissions and increased pollen season duration are projected to be amplified with higher temperatures, and pollution and pollen exposures, in addition to heat waves, can harm human health.” (NCA, Ch. 18: Midwest)

---

### **Examples of Efforts Underway in MISSOURI to Address Climate Change**

In **MISSOURI**, many efforts are already underway to mitigate and respond to the impacts of climate change, including:

#### **Preparing Communities for the Consequences of Climate Change:**

Many important preparedness, resilience, and adaptation efforts are already being led by local, state, and regional entities across the country. Mechanisms being used by local governments to prepare for climate change include: land-use planning; provisions to protect infrastructure and ecosystems; regulations related to the design and construction of buildings, road, and bridges; and preparation for emergency response and recovery. These local adaptation planning and actions are unfolding in municipalities of different sizes, and regional agencies and regional



aggregations of governments are also taking actions. And States have also become important actors in efforts related to climate change.

### **Cutting Carbon Pollution in MISSOURI:**

In 2012, power plants and major industrial facilities in Missouri emitted more than 87 million metric tons of carbon pollution – that’s equal to the yearly pollution from more than 18 million cars. Through the Climate Action Plan and state initiatives, there are many efforts already underway to mitigate and respond to the impacts of climate change in Missouri, including:

- ***Investing in Clean Energy:*** Since President Obama took office, the U.S. increased solar-electricity generation by more than ten-fold and tripled electricity production from wind power. In Missouri, renewable energy generation from wind, solar, and geothermal sources increased by nearly a factor of six. Since 2009, the Administration has supported tens of thousands of renewable energy projects throughout the country, including 366 in Missouri, generating enough energy to power more than 66,000 homes and helping Missouri meet its own goal of generating 15 percent of its electricity from renewable energy sources by 2021
- ***Improving Efficiency:*** Using less energy to power our homes, businesses and vehicles is critical to building a clean and secure energy future. President Obama has made essential investments in research and development for energy efficiency advances, and set new standards to make the things we use every day – from cars to microwaves – more efficient.
  - President Obama established the toughest fuel economy standards for passenger vehicles in U.S. history. These standards will double the fuel efficiency of our cars and trucks by 2025, saving the average driver more than \$8,000 over the lifetime of a 2025 vehicle and cutting carbon pollution.
  - Since October 2009, the Department of Energy and the Department of Housing and Urban Development have jointly completed energy upgrades nearly two million homes across the country, saving many families more than \$400 on their heating and cooling bills in the first year alone.
  - As part of the President’s Better Buildings Challenge, the city of Columbia committed to reducing energy intensity 20 percent by 2020 in 550 thousand square feet of public buildings. To date, Columbia has achieved improved energy performance of 13 percent


*For more information about the third U.S. National Climate Assessment, please visit [www.globalchange.gov](http://www.globalchange.gov) or contact [engagement@usgcrp.gov](mailto:engagement@usgcrp.gov).*

###



U.S. Geological Survey - National Climate Change Viewer

## Summary of Lower Grand. Iowa, Missouri. (10280103)



April 7, 2014

# 1 Maximum 2-m Air Temperature




Figure 1: Seasonal average time series of maximum 2-m air temperature for historical (black), RCP4.5 (blue) and RCP8.5 (red). The historical period ends in 2005 and the future periods begin in 2006. The average of 30 CMIP5 models is indicated by the solid lines and their standard deviations are indicated by the respective shaded envelopes.




Figure 2: Monthly averages of maximum 2-m air temperature for four time periods for the RCP4.5 (left) and RCP8.5 (right) simulations. The average of 30 CMIP5 models is indicated by the solid lines and their standard deviations are indicated by the respective shaded envelopes.



## 2 Minimum 2-m Air Temperature




Figure 3: Seasonal average time series of minimum 2-m air temperature for historical (black), RCP4.5 (blue) and RCP8.5 (red). The historical period ends in 2005 and the future periods begin in 2006. The average of 30 CMIP5 models is indicated by the solid lines and their standard deviations are indicated by the respective shaded envelopes.




Figure 4: Monthly averages of minimum 2-m air temperature for four time periods for the RCP4.5 (left) and RCP8.5 (right) simulations. The average of 30 CMIP5 models is indicated by the solid lines and their standard deviations are indicated by the respective shaded envelopes.

### 3 Precipitation




Figure 5: Seasonal average time series of precipitation for historical (black), RCP4.5 (blue) and RCP8.5 (red). The historical period ends in 2005 and the future periods begin in 2006. The average of 30 CMIP5 models is indicated by the solid lines and their standard deviations are indicated by the respective shaded envelopes.




Figure 6: Monthly averages of precipitation for four time periods for the RCP4.5 (left) and RCP8.5 (right) simulations. The average of 30 CMIP5 models is indicated by the solid lines and their standard deviations are indicated by the respective shaded envelopes.



### 4 Snow Water Equivalent




Figure 7: Seasonal average time series of snow water equivalent for historical (black), RCP4.5 (blue) and RCP8.5 (red). The historical period ends in 2005 and the future periods begin in 2006. The average of 30 CMIP5 models is indicated by the solid lines and their standard deviations are indicated by the respective shaded envelopes.




Figure 8: Monthly averages of snow water equivalent for four time periods for the RCP4.5 (left) and RCP8.5 (right) simulations. The average of 30 CMIP5 models is indicated by the solid lines and their standard deviations are indicated by the respective shaded envelopes.

## 5 Runoff




Figure 9: Seasonal average time series of runoff for historical (black), RCP4.5 (blue) and RCP8.5 (red). The historical period ends in 2005 and the future periods begin in 2006. The average of 30 CMIP5 models is indicated by the solid lines and their standard deviations are indicated by the respective shaded envelopes.




Figure 10: Monthly averages of runoff for four time periods for the RCP4.5 (left) and RCP8.5 (right) simulations. The average of 30 CMIP5 models is indicated by the solid lines and their standard deviations are indicated by the respective shaded envelopes.

## 6 Soil Water Storage




Figure 11: Seasonal average time series of soil water storage for historical (black), RCP4.5 (blue) and RCP8.5 (red). The historical period ends in 2005 and the future periods begin in 2006. The average of 30 CMIP5 models is indicated by the solid lines and their standard deviations are indicated by the respective shaded envelopes.




Figure 12: Monthly averages of soil water storage for four time periods for the RCP4.5 (left) and RCP8.5 (right) simulations. The average of 30 CMIP5 models is indicated by the solid lines and their standard deviations are indicated by the respective shaded envelopes.



## 7 Evaporative Deficit




Figure 13: Seasonal average time series of evaporative deficit for historical (black), RCP4.5 (blue) and RCP8.5 (red). The historical period ends in 2005 and the future periods begin in 2006. The average of 30 CMIP5 models is indicated by the solid lines and their standard deviations are indicated by the respective shaded envelopes.




Figure 14: Monthly averages of evaporative deficit for four time periods for the RCP4.5 (left) and RCP8.5 (right) simulations. The average of 30 CMIP5 models is indicated by the solid lines and their standard deviations are indicated by the respective shaded envelopes.

## 8 Data

The temperature and precipitation summaries are created by spatially averaging the NASA NEX-DCP30 data set (Thrasher et al., 2013). The water-balance variables snow water equivalent, runoff, soil water storage and evaporative deficit are simulated by using the NEX-DCP30 temperature and precipitation as input to a simple model (McCabe and Wolock, 2011). The water-balance model accounts for the partitioning of water through the various components of the hydrologic system, but does not account for groundwater, diversions or regulation by impoundments.

## 9 Models

ACCESS1-0	bcc-csm1-1	bcc-csm1-1-m	BNU-ESM	CanESM2	CCSM4
CESM1-BGC	CMCC-CM	CNRM-CM5	CSIRO-Mk3-6-0	FGOALS-g2	FIO-ESM
GFDL-CM3	GFDL-ESM2G	GFDL-ESM2M	GISS-E2-R	HadGEM2-AO	HadGEM2-CC
HadGEM2-ES	inmcm4	IPSL-CM5A-LR	IPSL-CM5A-MR	IPSL-CM5B-LR	MIROC5
MIROC-ESM	MIROC-ESM-CHEM	MPI-ESM-LR	MPI-ESM-MR	MRI-CGCM3	NorESM1-M

## 10 Citation Information

Alder, J. R. and S. W. Hostetler, 2013. USGS National Climate Change Viewer. US Geological Survey [http://www.usgs.gov/climate\\_landuse/clu\\_rd/nex-dcp30.asp](http://www.usgs.gov/climate_landuse/clu_rd/nex-dcp30.asp) doi:10.5066/F7W9575T

McCabe, G. J., and D. M. Wolock, 2011. Independent effects of temperature and precipitation on modeled runoff in the conterminous United States, *Water Resour. Res.*, 47, W11522, doi:10.1029/2011WR010630

Trasher, B., Xiong, J., Wang, W., Melton, F., Michaelis, A., and R. Nemani, 2013. New downscaled climate projections suitable for resource management in the U.S. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union* 94, 321-323, doi:10.1002/2013EO370002

## 11 Disclaimer

These freely available, derived data sets were produced by J. Alder and S. Hostetler, US Geological Survey (USGS). The original climate data are from the NEX-DCP30 dataset, which was prepared by the Climate Analytics Group and NASA Ames Research Center using the NASA Earth Exchange, and is distributed by the NASA Center for Climate Simulation. No warranty expressed or implied is made by the USGS regarding the display or utility of the derived data on any other system, or for general or scientific purposes, nor shall the act of distribution constitute any such warranty. The USGS shall not be held liable for improper or incorrect use of the data described and/or contained herein.

